UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/622,207                  | 07/18/2003                        | Edwin J. Hlavka      | MICO-06C            | 4023             |
|                             | 7590 11/14/200<br>ON & EVANS, LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2700 CAREW 7                | TOWER                             | MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H  |                     |                  |
| 441 VINE STR<br>CINCINNATI, |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                             |                                   |                      | 3774                |                  |
|                             |                                   |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                                   |                      | 11/14/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.             | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/622,207                  | HLAVKA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner                    | Art Unit      |  |  |
| William H. Matthews (Howie) | 3774          |  |  |

|   | william in watthews (nowle)   | 3174  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance                   | , or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or           | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>).                | date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FII        | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                    |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls. | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compl   | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f  | iled within two months                                    | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>AMENDMENTS  |   |   | e appeal. Since a                        |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   | out prior to the date of filing a brief,  | will <u>not</u> be entered be                             | cause                                    |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  |   | E below);   |  |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | **  |   |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett  | er form for appeal by materially rec  | lucing or simplifying ti                                  | ne issues for                            |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reje  | octed claims  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | orresponding number of finally reje   | cted ciaims.  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co  | mnliant Amendment (I                                      | DTOL-324)                                |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>   |   | inpliant Americanient (i                                  | 10L-32+).                                |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |   | imely filed amendmer                                      | ot canceling the                         |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t   | intery filed afficilatrici                                | it canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |   | be entered and an ex                                      | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed<br>Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>60-67</u> .   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi   | t or other evidence is                                    | necessary and                            |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fails                                  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                   | ed.                                      |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   | 1141 6 11   |  |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the extraphed Information Displaceurs Statement(s).</li> </ul>   |   | condition for allowan                                     | ce because:                              |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | r i O/SD/00) raper ino(s)   |   |  |
|   | /William H. Matthews/   |   |  |
|   | Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3774  |   |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains that "coupled" broadly encompasses both a direct and an indirect connection. The specification only provide support for indirect coupling, therefore the claim limitation is considered new matter. Examiner's SPE agreed with this interpretation.